IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON FOR THE COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH

DAVII	O KOENIG,)	
)	Case No. 23CV15424
	Plaintiff,)	
)	PLAINTIFF'S SECOND
v.)	AMENDED COMPLAINT—
)	DEFAMATION, CIVIL
EVAN	S CLINCHY,)	CONSPIRACY,
JENNI	FER CLINCHY, and)	INTENTIONAL
BRIAN	INA (LOLA) McKISSEN)	INTERFERENCE IN
)	ECONOMIC RELATIONS,
	Defendants.)	INTENTIONAL INFLICTION
)	OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS
)	
)	CLAIM NOT SUBJECT
)	TO MANDATORY
)	ARBITRATION
)	
)	TOTAL CLAIM: \$420,000
)	
)	
)	
	Plaintiff alleges:		

1.

Plaintiff is a resident of Multnomah County, Oregon. Plaintiff is a highly ranked competitive Scrabble player, having ranked as high as #3 in the United States, #5 in the United Kingdom, and among the top 20 players globally. Plaintiff has enjoyed a high level of repute in the competitive Scrabble community for over twenty years and has earned as much as \$2,000 annually in winnings.

2.

Defendants and each of them are fellow competitive Scrabble players and prominent members of the competitive Scrabble community in the Pacific Northwest. Defendant Evans Clinchy has known Plaintiff for 15 years and is currently married to Defendant Jennifer Clinchy. Defendant Jennifer Clinchy was in a romantic relationship with Plaintiff from September 2014 to June 2016. Defendant McKissen was in a romantic relationship with Plaintiff from March 2020 to June 2020. Defendants Evans and Jennifer Clinchy are, upon information and belief, residents

1 – PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED COMPLAINT –
DEFAMATION, CIVIL CONSPIRACY, INTENTIONAL
INTERFERENCE IN ECONOMIC RELATIONS,
INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

Verite Law Company 1525 SE 22nd Ave. Portland OR 97214 503-754-1656

3

6

7

10

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18

1920

21 22

2324

25

2627

28

of King County, Washington. Defendant McKissen is, upon information and belief, a resident of Multnomah County, Oregon.

3.

Upon information and belief, Defendants Evans and Jennifer Clinchy undertook a campaign to smear the reputation and tarnish the standing of Plaintiff within the competitive Scrabble community. This included violating tournament rules in order to exclude Plaintiff from competitions, and, unbeknownst to Plaintiff, spreading false and defamatory rumors about him.

4.

The primary organizer of competitive Scrabble tournaments in the United States and Canada is the North American Scrabble Players Association ("NASPA"). Rival organizers have emerged in recent years, including the World Game Players Organization ("WGPO") and the Collins Coalition ("CoCo"). CoCo was founded by Defendants Evans Clinchy and Jennifer Clinchy, and others, in 2019.

5.

Upon information and belief, the motive behind the creation of CoCo was to create an organization where Plaintiff would be unable to participate.

6.

Plaintiff and Defendant McKissen began dating in early 2020, and their relationship continued for several months.

7.

Frustrated with his ostracism from a community he cherished and relied upon, and to alleviate the psychological trauma he was experiencing, Plaintiff published a two-part blog post in July 2020 explaining his side of the story and criticizing Defendants' actions. Defendant McKissen assisted in the preparation of these posts, and supported Plaintiff's efforts to make the truth known.

8.

Plaintiff had no further substantial contact with any Defendants from July 2020 onward, outside of a single e-mail message conversation in December 2020, a single text conversation in February 2021, and a single in-person encounter in January 2022 with Defendant McKissen. What insubstantial contact there was between Plaintiff and Defendants was amicable and respectful.

2 – PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED COMPLAINT —
DEFAMATION, CIVIL CONSPIRACY, INTENTIONAL
INTERFERENCE IN ECONOMIC RELATIONS,
INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

On April 14, 2022, Plaintiff received a Notification of Potential Disciplinary Action from WGPO's President, Steve Pellinen. The Notification indicated that Pellinen had filed complaints with all three organizations named in Paragraph 3 alleging that Plaintiff had breached the organizations' codes of conduct by threatening violence against individuals as well the Scrabble community at large and by engaging in "predatory behavior" towards Defendants Jennifer Clinchy and Brianna McKissen. (Notification is attached as Exhibit A.)

The Notification was accompanied by written statements from all three Defendants. Each statement contained false and defamatory statements, the communication of which plaintiff had not discovered prior to his receipt of the Notification. Defendant Evan Clinchy's statement claimed, *inter alia*, that Plaintiff "has spent hours and hours discussing his intent to kill me" and that Plaintiff had "expressed his urge to shoot up a Scrabble tournament." (Defendant Evan Clinchy's statement is attached as Exhibit B.) Defendant Jennifer Clinchy's statement accuses Plaintiff of, *inter alia*, "sexual coercion" and the "harassment and stalking" of "multiple women." (Defendant Jennifer Clinchy's statement is attached as Exhibit C.) Defendant McKissen calls Plaintiff "an actual psychopath" and says that he was a threat to "shoot down" a "whole random tournament of Scrabblers."

11.

Plaintiff filed a response to the Notification with NASPA, but despite his refutation of the defamatory statements made by Defendants, he was suspended from all tournament play for three years.

12.

Defendant's defamatory statements were, upon information and belief, a coordinated effort, made with malicious intent and with the intention of interfering with Plaintiff's professional relationship with NASPA, its members, and other parts of the competitive Scrabble community.

13.

The above-referenced defamatory statements have directly affected Plaintiff's ability to earn income from competitive Scrabble, resulting in a significant loss of revenue estimated not to exceed \$10,000.

3 – PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED COMPLAINT –
DEFAMATION, CIVIL CONSPIRACY, INTENTIONAL
INTERFERENCE IN ECONOMIC RELATIONS,
INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

Verite Law Company 1525 SE 22nd Ave. Portland OR 97214 503-754-1656

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

2425

26

2728

The above-referenced defamatory statements have, in addition, caused Plaintiff to suffer severe mental and emotional damages resulting in medical expenses not expected to exceed \$10,000.

15.

In addition to the foregoing damages, Plaintiff has suffered damage to his reputation in an amount not expected to exceed \$400,000.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Defamation)

(Against All Defendants)

16.

Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 15 above.

17.

Defendants and each of them made false and defamatory statements of fact concerning Plaintiff. Each of the statements alleges that plaintiff has committed, or is a danger to commit, a crime of moral turpitude, including sexual assault and murder, and therefore constitutes libel *per se*. Plaintiff denies the truth of each defamatory statement. Defendants maliciously made the statements to third parties knowing they were false and/or with reckless disregard for the truth of the statements.

18.

Plaintiff sustained economic damages resulting from lost income and noneconomic damages for emotional distress and injury to his reputation, for which damages are presumed.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Conspiracy to Defame)

(Against All Defendants)

19.

Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 18.

20.

Defendants and each of them entered into a coordinated, premeditated agreement to commit tortious acts of defamation concerning Plaintiff, namely defamatory statements by the

1	other defendants. Even if a particular defendant is not liable for its own defamatory statement, it			
2	is liable for entering into a coordinated, premeditated agreement to further other defendants'			
	defamatory statements.			
3	21.			
4	As a result of this conspiracy, Plaintiff sustained economic damages resulting from lost			
5	income and noneconomic damages for emotional distress and injury to his reputation, for which			
6	damages are presumed.			
7	THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF			
8	(Intentional Interference with Economic Relations)			
9	(Against All Defendants)			
.0	22.			
.1	Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 21 above.			
.2	23.			
.3	By participating in professional Scrabble tournaments, Plaintiff possessed a professional,			
	economic relationship with NASPA.			
.4	24.			
.5	Defendants and each of them intentionally interfered with that economic relationship			
6	through the improper means of falsely defaming Plaintiff.			
.7	25.			
8	Defendants' interference caused Plaintiff to be banned by NASPA and ostracized within			
9	the broader competitive Scrabble community.			
0	26.			
1	As a result of this interference, Plaintiff sustained economic damages resulting from lost			
2	income and noneconomic damages for emotional distress and injury to his reputation, for which			
:3	damages are presumed.			
	FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF			
24	(Conspiracy to Tortiously Interfere with Economic Relations)			
25	(Against All Defendants)			
6	27.			
7	Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 26 above.			
8	28.			
	5 – PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED COMPLAINT — Verite Law Company			

Verite Law Company 1525 SE 22nd Ave. Portland OR 97214 503-754-1656

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendants and each of them entered into a coordinated, premeditated agreement to commit tortious interference with the economic relationship between Plaintiff and NASPA. Even if a particular Defendant's defamatory statement did not constitute such tortious interference, that Defendant entered into a coordinated, premeditated agreement to further other Defendants' tortious interference.

29.

As a result of this conspiracy, Plaintiff sustained economic damages resulting from lost income and noneconomic damages for emotional distress and injury to his reputation, for which damages are presumed.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress)

(Against All Defendants)

30.

Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 29 above.

31.

Defendants intended to inflict severe mental or emotional distress upon Plaintiff or acted with reckless disregard in taking actions that were substantially certain to result in such distress.

32.

Defendants' actions did in fact cause Plaintiff severe mental and emotional distress, which has been ongoing since Plaintiff's discovery of Defendant's defamatory statements and has resulted in Plaintiff seeking treatment for damaged vocal cords, speech and voice therapy, depression, anxiety, and post-traumatic stress disorder, all of which have been severely exacerbated following Plaintiff's discovery of Defendants' defamatory statements.

33.

Defendant's actions, including false and defamatory statements concerning Plaintiff, constituted an extraordinary transgression of the bounds of socially tolerable conduct.

34.

As a result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff sustained economic damages resulting from lost income and noneconomic damages for emotional distress and injury to his reputation, for which damages are presumed.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

6 – PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED COMPLAINT — DEFAMATION, CIVIL CONSPIRACY, INTENTIONAL INTERFERENCE IN ECONOMIC RELATIONS, INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	. 0
1	.1
1	.2
1	.3
1	4
1	.5
1	. 6
1	.7
1	. 8
1	.9
2	20
2	21
2	22
2	23
2	24
2	25
2	26
2	27

(Conspiracy to	Intentionally Inflict Emo	tional Distress)
((Against All Defendants)	1

35.

Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 34 above.

36.

Defendants and each of them entered into a coordinated, premeditated agreement to inflict emotional distress upon Plaintiff. Even if a particular Defendant's defamatory statement does not constitute the intentional infliction of emotional distress, that Defendant entered into a coordinated, premeditated agreement to further other Defendants' intentional infliction of emotional distress.

37.

As a result of this conspiracy, Plaintiff sustained economic damages resulting from lost income and noneconomic damages for emotional distress, for which damages are presumed.

38.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff demands judgment against defendant for damages in the sum of \$20,000 in economic damages, for \$400,000 in noneconomic damages, and for attorney's fees, costs and disbursements incurred.

DATED: MAY 9, 2023.

/s/__Marc Mohan Marc Mohan #203325 Verite Law Company 1525 SE 22nd Ave. Portland OR 97214 503-754-1656 marc@veritelawcompany.com Attorney for Plaintiff